	Case 2:25-cv-00554-TLN-CKD Doc	ument 9	Filed 05/13/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	FERNANDO MAZON,	N	o. 2:25-cv-0554 Cl	KD P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	V.	<u>O</u>	RDER AND		
14	RADU, et al.,	<u>F</u> ]	NDINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	On March 31, 2025, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Plaintiff				
18	was warned that failure to file an amended complaint before the expiration of the deadline for				
19	doing so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice. The				
20	time for filing an amended complaint has expired. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.				
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district				
22	court judge to this case; and				
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See				
24	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).				
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge				
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days				
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections				
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings				
		1			

	Case 2:25-cv-00554-TLN-CKD Document 9 Filed 05/13/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified				
2	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153				
3	(9th Cir. 1991).				
4	Dated: May 13, 2025 Carop U. Delany				
5	CAROLYN K. DELANEY				
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
7	•				
8					
9	1				
10	mazo0554.fta				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					